# **International Journal of Current Advanced Research**

ISSN: O: 2319-6475, ISSN: P: 2319-6505, Impact Factor: SJIF: 5,995 Available Online at www.journalijcar.org Volume 7; Issue 1(H); January 2018; Page No. 9283-9288 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.9288.1529



# PREPARATION OF MoS<sub>2</sub>-GRAPHENE COMPOSITES WITH EXCELLENT PHOTOCATALYTIC ACTIVITY UNDER VISIBLE LIGHT

## Komal M Sarode, Umesh D Patil and Dilip R Patil\*

Nanomaterial Research Laboratory, R.C. Patel ACS College, Shirpur, 425405, Maharashtra, India

#### ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2017 Received in revised form 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 Accepted 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 Published online 28th January, 2018

Key words:

Graphene oxide; MoS2/RGO composites; pollutant; photocatalysis.

In this work, MoS<sub>2</sub>-graphenecomposites (MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO) were successfully synthesized via hydrolysis of lithiated MoS<sub>2</sub> (LiMoS<sub>2</sub>). The obtained products as well as the photocatalytic activities in the degradation of Rhodamine B(RhB) were characterized by X-ray diffraction, Field Emission scanning electron microscopy, High resolution transmission electron microscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy and UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy, respectively. The result shown that MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composite exhibit excellent photocatalytic performance than to Restack MoS<sub>2</sub>, with nearly 90% of RhB degraded after visible light irradiation for 30 min. This excellent photocatalytic activity could be attributed to the synergistic effect that arises between the MoS<sub>2</sub> and RGO, which significantly reduces electron-hole pair recombination. Moreover, the possible photocatalytic mechanism of MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites was also proposed.

Copyright©2018 Komal M Sarode, Umesh D Patil and Dilip R Patil. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Nowadays, Photocatalytic degradation technique is an important approach to address environmental pollution. Commonly, organic dyes used from leathers, textile, fabric, food, cosmetics and paper industries have caused critical environmental problems, as some of the dye wastewater from these industries can pollute ground water resources, and their utilization has many toxic and harmful effects on human beings. Semiconducting based photocatalysts have ability to solve the serious environmental pollution because of their superior physical and chemical property [1-4]. Searching for visible light responsive photocatalyst has attracted much attention of the researchers.

Molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) is a semiconductor material with a narrow band gap (~1.8 eV) exhibits very high light absorption in visible spectrumregion [5, 6], which is quite beneficial to photocatalytic applications [7-10]. However, quick recombination of photogenerated charge carriers and low conductivity coefficients significantly limits the practical applications of MoS<sub>2</sub>[11]. Based on previously data reported in literature, if it is coupled with conductive material could help the separation of photogenerated electron-hole recombination, thus improving the photocatalytic performance(12, 13). Graphene, a versatile carbon material with a single layer and a

\*Corresponding author: Dilip R Patil Nanomaterial Research Laboratory, R.C. Patel ACS College, Shirpur, 425405, Maharashtra, India

sp<sup>2</sup>-hybridized carbon atom, exhibits high surface area, excellent electrical and thermal properties [14-17]. As graphene can serve as an excellent charge carrier for semiconductors at room temperature[18, 19]. Due to these graphene excellent electron-accept properties, as an or/transport materialhas been applied to improve photocatalysis performancebecause it can separate the photogenerated electron-hole recombination and improve the light adsorption [20-24]. Moreover, it shows enhanced absorption in visible region due to exceptional optical, electronic properties and enhanced pollutant adsorption on photocatalyst is an additional advantage of grapheme [14,25-26]. In this work, we used a by hydrolysis of lithiated MoS<sub>2</sub> (LiMoS<sub>2</sub>) method to prepare MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites, and their photocatalytic performances in the degradation of RhB under visible light irradiation were investigated. The HRTEM images demonstrated that MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were well stack on the graphene sheets (RGO). The MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites exhibited high photocatalytic performance in the degradation of RhB under visible light irradiation compared with the Restacked MoS<sub>2</sub>.

## **Experimental**

#### Synthesis of Graphene oxide (GO)

Graphene oxide was synthesized by the chemical oxidation of graphite flakes by an improved Hummer's method [27]. Typically, 1.5g of graphite flakes was added into a 180 ml: 20 ml mixture of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Subsequently, 9.0g of KMnO<sub>4</sub> was added slowly to keep the temperature of the suspension lower than 30°C. Next, the resulting mixture was stirred at  $50^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. Then, the reaction was cooled down to room temperature and poured onto a mixture of 200 ml of ice and 5ml of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The resultant slurry was washed and centrifuged with 200ml water, 100 ml of 15% HCl, and 100 ml ethanol sequentially. Finally, the remaining solid materials were dried under vacuum for 24 hours.

#### Synthesis of graphene (RGO)

GO was reduced by hydrazine hydrate in the presence of aqueous medium [28]. Briefly, 500 mg of GO was loaded in 500 ml water and dispersed in an ultrasonic bath cleaner until it became clearwith no visible particulate matter. Afterwards, Hydrazine hydrate (5 ml) was added to the solution and heated in oil bath at 100°C under water cooled condenser for 24 h. Finally, RGO precipitated out as a black solid was isolated by filtration over a medium fritted glass funnel, washed with water and methanol alternately and dried at 50°C in vacuum.

#### Synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGOcomposites

In a typical experiment, 1.0g bulk  $MoS_2$  powder was dispersed in the 10 mLof 1.6Mn-butyllithium solution in hexane in a flask filled with N<sub>2</sub>gas [29]. The mixture was soaked at room temperature for 48 h. Next, the produced LixMoS<sub>2</sub> was filtered and washed repeatedly with hexane to remove excessive lithium and then the dried under the nitrogen atmosphere. Afterward, 100 mg of LixMoS<sub>2</sub> was added into 20 ml deionized water and ultrasonicated for 1h to obtained aqueous suspension of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The reactions are described as follows: [30]

 $\begin{array}{ll} MoS_2 + n-BuLiLi & \longrightarrow MoS_2 + 1/2C_8H_{18}(1) \\ LiMoS_2 + H_2O & \longrightarrow (MoS_2)_{exfoliated layers} + LiOH + 1/2H_2(2) \end{array}$ 

Subsequently, the synthesized RGO nanosheets were dispersed in ethanol (3 mg/mL) and ultrasonicated for 30 min to produce RGO suspension. The produced RGO suspension was then slowly added to the MoS<sub>2</sub> layers and sonicated for 2 h at 10°C. The resultant composite suspension was stirred at room temperature for 3 days and heated in oil bath at 80°C under a water cooled condenser for 24 h. The resultant precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 15 min, washed several times with deionized water, ethanol and finally dried in vacuum oven at 80°C overnight. The different mass ratios of LiMoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO are taken as 100/12.5, 100/25, namedas MG-12 and MG-25.As a control, the restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> was also prepared without adding any RGO.

#### Photocatalytic experiments

The photocatalytic performances of the prepared samples were evaluated through the photocatalytic degradation of RhB under visible light irradiation. The prepared samples (27 mg) were dispersed into 50ml RhBaqueous solutions (12mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The suspensions were magnetically stirred in the dark for 30 min to reach the adsorption–desorption equilibrium. The suspensions were exposed to visible light irradiation produced by a 15W white LED light lamp with the main wave create at 450 and 558nm and a color temperature of 6050K. At certain time intervals (5 min), 3 ml of the suspensions were extracted and filtered to remove the photocatalyst. The concentrations of RhB were analyzed by using UV-VIS spectrophotometer.

#### Characterization

The structure and morphology of the prepared samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, EMPYREAN) with Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation (V = 45 kV, I = 40 mA, $\lambda$  = 1.54060Å) and field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Zeiss/Ultra 55). The surface morphology of the sample was analyzed using a high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, TecnaiG2, F30). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, shimadzu) and Raman spectra (excitation wavelength of 514.5 nm) were also adopted to characterize the prepared samples.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Characterizations of MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of RGO, bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>, restacked MoS<sub>2</sub>, and MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites. The pure RGO nanosheets exhibit a (002) diffraction peak at  $24^{\circ}$ , denoting that the prepared RGO should be an amorphous carbon structure. The bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> of all the sharp diffraction peaks can be ascribed to a hexagonal phase (JCPDS no. 37-1492). The strong peak at  $2\theta \approx 14.5$  with a d-spacing of 0.64 nm signifies a well-stacked layered structure along the c axis[31]. After lithiation exfoliation, restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> shows broadened (002) peaks and much shortened peak. This Indicates that the mean crystallite size and the number of layers along the c axis are lot of decreases than those of bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> [30, 32]. By comparison, the XRD pattern of MG-12 and MG-25 essentially retains the position of the diffraction peaks of MoS<sub>2</sub>, while the intensity of peak continuously decreases. We can also hardly detect the (002) diffraction peak of graphene at  $2\theta \approx 24$ , indicating that the RGO nanosheets seldom stack together.



Figure 1.XRD patterns of bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>, restacked MoS<sub>2</sub>, MG-12, MG-25 and RGO samples.

Fig. 2(a) and (b) show the FESEM images of bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>and restacked MoS<sub>2</sub>. It can be clearly observed that the bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> is largely micrometer-sized inerratic nanosheets, which are tightly stacked together. After lithiation-exfoliation process, the morphology of restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> interestingly changed to highly scattered Nanoflakes. It is also observed that the size and thickness of the nanosheets were significantly decreased relative to the bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>. Fig.2(c) shows the FESEM image of RGO material consists of randomly aggregated, thin, crumpled sheets closely associated with each other. As shown in Fig.2(d), the MG-25 exhibits a nanosheet-like morphology, which was formed during the hydrolysis of lithiated MoS<sub>2</sub> (LiMoS<sub>2</sub>) process. The existence of MoS<sub>2</sub> and RGO in the

composites has been proved by the peaks of C, Mo, S and a small number of O in the EDS spectrum (Fig.2 (e)) also confirm the ratio of Mo and S atoms is 1 to 1.89, which is close to the proportion of  $MoS_2$ . Fig. 2(f) shows the HRTEM images of the MG-25, it can be observed that the several layers MoS<sub>2</sub>, which are supported on the surface of RGO, the interlayer distance of MoS<sub>2</sub> in MG-25 is 0.61 nm, which is less than that of bulk  $MoS_2$  with an interlayer distance of 0.64 nm. Fourier transforms infrared (FT-IR) spectra of the as-prepared GO, RGO and MG-25 samples are show in Figure 3. The characteristic peaks of GO appear at around 3415 cm<sup>-1</sup>(O-H stretching vibration), 1735cm<sup>-1</sup>(C=O stretching vibration),  $1620 \text{ cm}^{-1}(\text{C}=\text{C})$ stretching vibration), 1390cm<sup>-1</sup>(C-OH stretching vibration) and 1100 cm (C-O vibration), this result is consistent with that reported previously [33]. For RGO and MG-25, these vibrations become much poor, it is indicated that the large oxygen-containing groups was removed.











Figure 2.FESEM images of (a) bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>, (b) Restack MoS<sub>2</sub>, (c) RGO, (e) EDX spectrum of MG-25, (f) HRTEM images of MG-25.

Raman spectroscopy was extensively used to further identify vibrational mode, formation of GO and MG-25. As shown in Figure 4, the two dominant peaks at 1364 and 1599cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to D and G bands, respectively,were observed related to GO [27]. In MG-25, Raman peak at 382cm<sup>-1</sup> and 404cm<sup>-1</sup> can be ascribed to  $E^{1}_{2g}$  and  $A^{1}_{g}$  modes of MoS<sub>2</sub> [34], and beside another two peaks around 1341 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup> are the D-band and G-band of RGO have been observed. This result further confirms that RGO has been added into MoS<sub>2</sub> successfully.



Figure 3 FT-IR spectra of as-prepared GO, RGO and MG-25samples

#### Photocatalytic measurement

The Photocatalytic activities of Restack  $MoS_2$ , MG-12 and MG-25 were performed in RhB aqueous solution under visible light illumination. It is well known that the adsorption of



Figure 4 Raman spectra of GO and MG-25 samples.

organic molecules on photocatalyst is an important step during photodegradation process. We firstly investigate RhB adsorption-desorption equilibrium of Restack  $MoS_2$ , MG-12, and MG-25 in the dark for 30min. Figure 5 shows the result of RhB adsorption experiments. It is observed that the amount of RGO in the composites is increased with increased absorptivity of RhB molecules, showing that the introduction of RGO improves the adsorptivity of the composites, which is beneficial to photocatalysis process.



Figure 5.Absorption of RhB after reaching the adsorption equilibrium in the dark.

Generally, the normalized temporal concentration changes  $(C/C_0)$  of RhB during the photocatalysis process are proportional to the normalized maximum absorbance  $(A/A_0)$ , which can be obtained from the change in the RhB absorption profile during the photocatalysis process [35]. Figure 6shows the time-dependent degradation rates of RhB by MoS<sub>2</sub>, MG-12, and MG-25 under visible light irradiation. It is observed that, after photocatalytic degradation for 60 min, the concentration of RhB solution decreases by about 27% in the presence of restack MoS<sub>2</sub> synthesized with the same experimental process only without adding RGO. However, the percentage of RGO in the composites increases, as the removal rate is increased to 83% for MG-12 in 60min. In MG-25, it is found that the removal rate can be up to 90% under visible light irradiation for 30min. The result indicating that the photocatalytic performance of MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites depends on the proportion of RGO in the composites. The excellent photocatalytic performance should be ascribed to he enhanced visible light absorption, reduced electron-hole pair recombination, and larger surface area for absorption of dves.In more detail, firstly, according to the previous data reported in literature on the conduction band, the valence band of MoS<sub>2</sub> and the work function of graphene, the energy levels are beneficial to transfer photo-generated electrons from the  $MoS_2$  conduction band to the graphene, which could efficiently separate the photo-generated electrons and holes and improve the light adsorption of MoS<sub>2</sub>; secondly, due to a conjugational  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking between the aromatic area of RhB and RGO sheets[36,37].



Figure 6 Photodegradation profiles of RhB using various photocatalyst under visible light irradiation.



Figure 7 Recycle tests of the MG-25 samples.

Further, the stability of photocatalysts is significant key parameters for its practical applications, the photocatalyst stability of MG-25 was evaluated by checking it's cycling life. In Figure 7, it shows four recycling runs tests on the MG-25 photocatalyst for degradation of RhB under visible light

irradiation. It can be clearly seen that there is only a small loss of photocatalytic efficiency after four successive cycles, which indicates the excellent reusability of catalyst.

The trapping experiments are required to understand the physical mechanism for the excellent photocatalytic degradation properties. Figure 8 presents the trapping experiments of  $O_2^-$  radicals and holes results. As well known, methanol and nitrogen are commonly used as the scavenger of  $O_2^-$  radicals and holes in photocatalytic degradation reaction, respectively. The photocatalytic degradation efficiency of RhB is 90% without scavengers after irradiation for 30 min, It can be observed that the degradation efficiency decreases from 90% to 55% by 55% if methanol gas is bubbled into RhB solution, but to 69% by 69% if nitrogen is introduced into the solution. It means that both  $O_2^-$  radicals and photogenerated holes from MoS<sub>2</sub> are responsible for the photocatalytic degradation activity, but the photogenerated holes dominate the degradation process.



Figure 8 The trapping experiments of O2<sup>-</sup> radicals and holes

Based on these above results, we can conclude that the photocatalytic performances of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites were better than that of the Restack MoS<sub>2</sub>. The physical mechanisms for the photodegradation of RhB over the MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites were presented in Figure 9. It's well known that RhB molecules can be excited by visible light and inject electrons and RhB\*+radicals into the conduction band of MoS<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, RhB can be degraded by photosensitization pathway with visible light irradiation.Under the visible-light irradiation also, the electrons are excited to the conduction band (CB) of MoS<sub>2</sub>, as he energy level of RGO is slightly lower than the conduction band of MoS<sub>2</sub> are beneficial to transfer photo-generated electrons from the MoS<sub>2</sub> conduction band to the RGO, and photo-generated holes are left on the  $MoS_2$  at the same time[38, 39] which could result in separation of photo-generated hole-electron pairs on the surface of RGO, which will directly produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as  $O_2^{-}$  that could also contribute to degradation of RhB[39]. Moreover, the photogenerated holes on the valence bandof MoS<sub>2</sub> can degrade the RhB molecules adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalysts. Consequently, it suggests that the heterointerface between MoS<sub>2</sub> and RGO could be the main reason for achieving the excellent visible photocatalytic activity in MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites.



Figure 9 Schematic illustration of the possible mechanism of the photocatalytic activity of MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites for degradation of RhB under visible light.

# CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites were successfully synthesized via a lithiation-assisted exfoliation method and their photocatalytic performances were investigated. HRTEM observation revealed that the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheet was densely decorated on the RGO surface. The experimental results indicated that (i) MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composites exhibit excellent photocatalytic performances under visible light irradiation than Restack MoS<sub>2</sub>, and their photocatalytic performances are dependent on the proportion of RGO in the composites; (ii) MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composite with 0.25 wt% RGO achieves a highest RhB degradation rate of 90% in 30 min. In addition, the cycling tests indicated that the MoS<sub>2</sub>/RGO composite have excellent stability, which suggests that the composites are also suitable for optoelectronic devices and sensors applications.

#### Acknowledgements

The Authors would like to acknowledge the support and help for extending fabrication and characterization facilities, carried out at the Center of Excellence in Nanoelectronics (CEN) under Indian Nanoelectronics Users' Programme at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Bombay sponsored by Department of information Technology (DIT) and Government of India. The authors also acknowledge to the management of R.C.Patel Arts, Commerce and Science College, Shirpur for providing the research facilities.

#### References

- 1. S. Yang, L. Li, W. Yuan, Z. Xia, 2015 Dalton Transactions 44, 6374-6383.
- E. Kowalska, Z. Wei, B. Karabiyik, A. Herissan, M. Janczarek, M. Endo, A. Markowska-Szczupak, H. Remita, B. Ohtani, 2015 *Catalysis Today* 252, 136-142.
- Y. Ao, L. Xu, P. Wang, C. Wang, J. Hou, J. Qian, 2015 Dalton Transactions 44, 11321-11330.
- 4. W. Li, Z. Ma, G. Bai, J. Hu, X. Guo, B. Dai, X.2015 Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 174, 43-48.
- W. Zhou, Z. Yin, Y. Du, X. Huang, Z. Zeng, Z. Fan, H. Liu, J. Wang, H. Zhang 2013, *small* 9, 140-147.
- 6. S. Wi, M. Chen, H. Nam, A. C. Liu, E. Meyhofer, X. Liang,2014 *Applied Physics Letters* 104, 232103.

- L. A. King, W. Zhao, M. Chhowalla, D. J. Riley, G. Eda, 2013 Journal of Materials Chemistry A1, 8935-8941.
- 8. Y. Li, Y.-L. Li, C. M. Araujo, W. Luo, R. Ahuja, t. 2013 Catalysis Science & Technology 3, 2214-2220.
- M. Remskar, A. Mrzel, M. Virsek, M. Godec, M. Krause, A. Kolitsch, A. Singh, A. Seabaugh, 2011 Nanoscale Res Lett 6, 26.
- 10. S. Min, G. Lu, 2012 The Journal of Physical Chemistry C116, 25415-25424.
- M. A. Lukowski, A. S. Daniel, F. Meng, A. Forticaux, L. Li, S. Jin, 2013 J. Am. Chem. Soc135, 10274-10277.
- 12. F. Meng, J. Li, S. K. Cushing, M. Zhi, N. Wu, 2013 Journal of the American Chemical Society 135, 10286-10289.
- K. Chang, Z. Mei, T. Wang, Q. Kang, S. Ouyang, J. Ye,2014 ACS nano 8, 7078-7087.
- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, A. A. Firsov, 2004 science 306, 666-669.
- B. Kuchta, L. Firlej, A. Mohammadhosseini, P. Boulet, M. Beckner, J. Romanos, P. Pfeifer, 2012 *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 134, 15130-15137.
- G.-H. Lee, R. C. Cooper, S. J. An, S. Lee, A. van der Zande, N. Petrone, A. G. Hammerberg, C. Lee, B. Crawford, W. Oliver, 2013 Science 340, 1073-1076.
- V. Chabot, D. Higgins, A. Yu, X. Xiao, Z. Chen, J. Zhang,2014 Energy & Environmental Science 7, 1564-1596.
- 18. Q. Xiang, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, 2012 *Chemical Society Reviews* 41, 782-796.
- 19. Z. Chen, S. Liu, M.-Q. Yang, Y.-J. Xu,2013 ACS applied materials & interfaces 5, 4309-4319.
- 20. Y. Chen, H. Ge, L. Wei, Z. Li, R. Yuan, P. Liu, X. Fu, 2013 Catalysis Science & Technology3, 1712-1717.
- N. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Pan, X. Fu, S. Liu, Y.-J. Xu, 2011 The Journal of Physical Chemistry C115, 23501-23511.
- L. Pan, X. Liu, Z. Sun, C. Q. Sun,2013 Journal of Materials Chemistry A1, 8299-8326.

- 23. S. Liu, J. Tian, L. Wang, Y. Luo, X. Sun,2012 Catalysis Science & Technology 2, 339-344.
- 24. X. Liu, L. Pan, T. Lv, Z. Sun,2013 Journal of colloid and interface science 394, 441-444.
- Q. Xiang, B. Cheng, J. Yu, Graphene-Based Photocatalysts for Solar-Fuel Generation. 2015 Angewandte Chemie International Edition 54, 11350-11366.
- 26. H. Yoneyama, T. Torimoto, 2000 *Catalysis Today* 58, 133-140.
- D. C. Marcano, D. V. Kosynkin, J. M. Berlin, A. Sinitskii, Z. Sun, A. Slesarev, L. B. Alemany, W. Lu, J. M. Tour, Improved synthesis of graphene oxide. (2010).
- S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, R. D. Piner, K. A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Jia, Y. Wu, S. T. Nguyen, R. S. Ruoff, 2007 carbon 45, 1558-1565.
- G. Eda, H. Yamaguchi, D. Voiry, T. Fujita, M. Chen, M. Chhowalla, 2011 Nano letters 11, 5111-5116.
- G. Du, Z. Guo, S. Wang, R. Zeng, Z. Chen, H. Liu, 2010 Chemical Communications 46, 1106-1108.
- 31. K. Chang, W. Chen, 2011 ACS nano5, 4720-4728.
- 32. E. Aharon, A. Albo, M. Kalina, G. L. Frey,2006 Advanced Functional Materials 16, 980-986.
- 33. L. Lin, S. Zhang, 2012 Journal of Materials chemistry 22, 14385-14393.
- D. Kong, H. Wang, J. J. Cha, M. Pasta, K. J. Koski, J. Yao, Y. Cui, 2013 Nano letters 13, 1341-1347.
- J. Du, X. Lai, N. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Kisailus, F. Su, D. Wang, L. Jiang, 2010 ACS nano 5, 590-596.
- J. Zhang, Z. Xiong, X. Zhao, 2011 Journal of Materials Chemistry 21, 3634-3640
- X. An, C. Y. Jimmy, Y. Wang, Y. Hu, X. Yu, G. Zhang, 2012 Journal of Materials Chemistry 22, 8525-8531.
- 38. H. Yu, J. Tian, F. Chen, P. Wang, X. Wang,2015 Scientific reports 5.
- 39. L. Zhang, L. Sun, S. Liu, Y. Huang, K. Xu, F. Ma, 2016 *Rsc Advances* 6, 60318-60326.

#### How to cite this article:

Komal M Sarode *et al* (2018) 'Preparation of Mos2-Graphene Composites with Excellent Photocatalytic Activity Under Visible Light', *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 07(1), pp. 9283-9288. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2018.9288.1529

\*\*\*\*\*\*