# **International Journal of Current Advanced Research**

ISSN: O: 2319-6475, ISSN: P: 2319-6505, Impact Factor: 6.614 Available Online at www.journalijcar.org Volume 8; Issue 07 (A); July 2019; Page No.19424-19427 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2019.19427.3746



## TO ASSESS THE PREVALENCE OF ANAEMIA AND IT'S ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMENATTENDING ANTENATALCLINIC AT DHIRAJ HOSPITAL, PIPARIA, WAGHODIA, VADODARA

## Dipika Chaudhari<sup>1</sup>., Ankita Gamit<sup>2</sup>., Alpesh Christian<sup>3</sup> and Ekta Patel<sup>\*4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Sumandeep Nursing College, Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India
 <sup>4</sup>Department of Community Health Nursing, Sumandeep Nursing College, Sumandeep
 Vidyapeeth University, Vadodara, Gujarat

## ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2019 Received in revised form 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2019 Accepted 8<sup>th</sup> June, 2019 Published online 28<sup>th</sup> July, 2019

#### Key words:

Anaemia, Prevalence, associated factors, pregnant women, antenatal clinic

## ABSTRACT

**Background of the Study:** Even if anaemia is a worldwide public health problem affecting numerous people in all age groups, particularly the burden of the problem is higher among pregnant women. This study in aimed to assess prevalence of anaemia and its associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Dhiraj Hospital Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara.

**Objective of the Study** 

- 1. To assess the prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Dhiraj Hospital Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara.
- To identify associated factors of anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Dhiraj Hospital Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara.
- To associate the Prevalence of anaemia with selected Demographic variables among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Dhiraj Hospital Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara.

Material and Method: The study is based on quantitative approach it consist of 4 steps: Identifying the objective of study, expanding the mean of attaining the objectives, collecting of data and analysing data. In this research study a descriptive survey design was used. The study conducted at Dhiraj Hospital, Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara. The sample of the study was selected by using of Non-probability consecutive sampling technique. The sample size 150 pregnant women of Dhiraj Hospital Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara. Data was analysed by using Descriptive statistics to analyse the study variables and sample demographics (Frequency, percentage). Chi square used to associate presence of anaemia with demographic variable Multiple Regression (AOR) used to identify risk factors of anaemia. Result: In this study overall prevalence of anaemia using a cut off level of haemoglobin <11gm/dl among pregnant women was 73.98%. Out of 111 anaemic pregnant women, 22.66% were having Mild anaemia, 48.66% were having Moderate anaemia and 2.66% were having severely anaemia. Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the risk of anaemia is a highest among pregnant women with multigravida with (AOR=1.332, df=95%, CI=0.328-5.407), Number of gravidity ≥5 (AOR=0.88, df=95%, CI=0.512-1.785), Birth interval of 1year (AOR=0.747, df=95%, CI=0.732-1.251), 2nd Trimester (AOR=0.854, df=95%, CI=0.137-1.906), Lack of Follow up (AOR=1.234, df=95%, CI=0.752-1.257, 2nd Hintster (AOR=0.854, di=55%, CI=0.157-1506), Lack of Follow up (AOR=1.254, di=55%, CI=0.120-3, di=55%, CI=0.394-2.200), Contraceptives methods copper-T (AOR=2.953, df=95%, CI=0.308-4.870), Lack of Iron supplements (AOR=3.008, df=95%, CI=1.281-7.063), Excessive menstrual bleeding(AOR=0.211, df=95%, CI=0.072-0.615), History of malaria attack (AOR=0.777, df=95%, CI=0.132-4.571), History of abortion (AOR=0.973, df=95%, CI=0.305-3.103), Vegetarian (AOR=0.502, df=95%, CI=0.167-1.509), Not taking Fruit and Vegetables (AOR=0.613, df=95%, CI=0.154-2.433), consumption of tea/coffee before/after meal (AOR=6.540, df=95%, CI=0.041-1.198), eat Non Nutritive substance (AOR=0.676, df=95%, CI=0.148-3.080), Chatting while eating (AOR=0.181, df=95%, CI=0.055-0.597), Watching TV while eating (AOR=0.092, df=95%, CI=0.027-0.312). Discussion and Conclusion: Anaemia continues to be a major problem in developing countries with poor maternal and neonatal outcome. Knowledge about prevalence and associate factors can improve both maternal and neonatal outcome.

Copyright©2019 **Ekta Patel et al.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## INTRODUCTION

According to WHO Estimates, India is one of the countries in the world that has highest prevalence of Anaemia.<sup>1</sup> The World Health Organization there Are two billion people with anaemia. In the world and half of the anaemia is due to Iron deficiency. The estimated Prevalence of anaemia In developing countries is 39% in children <5 years, 48% in children 5-14years ,42% in women 15-59years, 30% in men 15-59years and 45% in adults >60years.<sup>2</sup>

\*Corresponding author: Ekta Patel Department of Community Health Nursing, Sumandeep Nursing College, Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Vadodara, Gujarat Iron deficiency and consequent anaemia during pregnancy could be associated with severe complications like increased risks of maternal mortality and morbidity, premature delivery, and low birth weight. Thus, routine screening tests for anaemia are recommended in pregnant women. Iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) is the most common and primary cause of anaemia. IDA prevalence indicates the nutritional status of a community. Considering the effects of IDA on maternal and Foetal mortalities, physical function and child growth and development, it is regarded as one of the main health indicators. On the other hand, the World Health Organization (WHO) has reported the prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women of Eastern Mediterranean countries to be 44.2% .Iron deficiency anaemia during pregnancy was reported 80% in India where 16% of maternal mortalities have been related to anaemia.<sup>3</sup>

Prevalence is defined as all current cases existing at given point of time in a given Population. According to a WHO report, the global prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women is 41.8%.8 In India, the prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women ranges from 58.7% to 87%. 9 The prevalence of anaemia at national level or state level cannot be generalised.<sup>4</sup>

Anaemia's complication are in pregnancy: The following complications are likely to increase: pre-eclampsia may be related to malnutrition and Hypoproteinaemia, inter current infection not only does anaemia diminish resistance to infection, but also any pre-existing lesion, if present, will flare up, it should be noted that the infection It Self impairs erythropoiesis by bone marrow depression, Heart failure at 30-32 weeks of pregnancy preterm labour. During labour: uterine inertia is not a common associate on the contrary the labour is short because of a small baby and multi parity. Postpartum haemorrhage is a real threat patient tolerates badly even a minimal amount of blood loss, shock-even a minor traumatic delivery without bleeding may produce shock or a minor hypoxia during anaesthesia which may be lethal. In puerperium there is increased chance of puerperal sepsis, sub involution, failing lactation, puerperal venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism<sup>5</sup>

According to global data 2018 In India Anaemia rate is 42% in women and 39.86% is in Antenatal mother and in Gujarat anaemia rate is 54.9% in women and 51.3% in antenatal mother. Normal level of anaemia in women is 11 -16gm/dl, mild anaemia is 10-10.9 gm/dl, moderate anaemia is 7-9.9 gm/dl, Severe anaemia is  $\leq 7$ gm/dl.<sup>6</sup>

#### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Mwanaisha M. Ali, Agatha F. Ngowi, Nyasiro S. Gibore (2019) has conducted study with the aimed to establish prevalence and obstetric factors associated with anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal care visits in Unguja Island, Tanzania. This is cross sectional survey used systemic random sampling in three hospitals of Unguja Island to select 388 pregnant women. Result of the study the overall prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women was 80.8%, where by 68.64% of respondents had mild anaemia, 11.24% had moderate anaemia and 0.89% had severe anaemia. The factors associated with anaemia in pregnancy were gravidity, (AOR= 1.185, 95% CI= 0.317- 4.338, p< 0.001), irregular taking of iron tablets (AOR=0.228, 95% CI= 0.149- 0.556, p<0.001) and age of the child < 2 years, (AOR .635, 95% CI= 1.103-11.882, p<0.004).<sup>7</sup>

DesalegnGetanesh (2018) has conducted study with the aims to assess the prevalence of anaemia and associated factors among pregnant women at Bahir Dar city. This is A cross sectional study was carried out from May to July 2014 on 480 pregnant women. The socio demographic obstetrics, medical history & Nutrition related data was collected using a pretested structured questionnaire. Result of the study the overall prevalence of anaemia in this study population was 18.3% fifty one anaemic cases(10.6%) showed mid type 10of anaemia followed by moderate anaemia 33(6.9%) and the remaing 4 (0.8%) were having severe anaemia. Gravidity (AOR= 2.1, 95% CI: 1.1-4.1), Trimester of pregnancy (AOR = 2.9, 95% CI: 1.1-7.7), History of abortion (AOR= 4.9, CI: 2.4-10.2) and

history of ante partum haemorrhage (AOR= 2.6, 95% CI: 1.1-6.6) had Statistically Significant association with anaemia in pregnant women.<sup>8</sup>

Dr.Shreedevi (2018) has conducted study with Objective of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal check up in a rural teaching hospital in Telangana. It is a hospital based cross sectional observational study conducted in the department of obstetrics and Gynecology at maheshwara Medical college and Hospital, Telangana for duration of two years from March 2016 to April 2018. A total of 600 cases were studied and screened. The result of this study was prevalence of anaemia in pregnancy in rural Telangana was about 20%. Age -wise, majority (58.3%) of the patients were between 21 to 25 years. Gravida more than 2 were more 66.6% (400/600) when compared to lower parity. Among 600 cases, 140 pregnant women (23.3%) suffered with mild anaemia, 340 cases (56.6%) with Moderate anaemia and 20% with severe anaemia morphologically, microcytic hypochromic anaemia. Based on haemoglobin values anaemia was classified in to mild, moderate and severe anaemia.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

*Research approach*: This study applied on quantitative approach

Research design: Descriptive survey design selected.

*Setting of the study*: The study conducted at Dhiraj hospital, Piparia, Vadodara.

*Sampling techniques:* The sample in this study will be selected by Non probability-consecutive sampling method

*Sample size:* The samples size 150 pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of Dhiraj Hospital, Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara.

Inclusion criteria: Who are willing to participate in study.

*Exclusion Criteria*: Antenatal Mother who is severely ill and do not able to Co-operate.

Tool for data collection: It was composed of three sections.

*Section 1:* Socio demographic Characteristics *Section 2:* Clinical & reproductive Characteristics *Section 3:* Nutritional habits.

#### Analysis

#### Data Analysis

Data analysis is performed to reduce organize and give meaning to the data that has been collected. 48 The raw data for the study was transferred to an excel Spread sheet. By using the statistician was consulted to assist with analysing the data SPSS software.

Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the study variables and sample demographics (Frequency, percentage). Chi square used to associate presence of anaemia with demographic variable. Multiple logistic Regression (AOR) used to identify risk factors of anaemia. To Assess The Prevalence of Anaemia And It's Associated Factors Among Pregnant Womenattending Antenatalclinic At Dhiraj Hospital, Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara

## Prevalance of anaemia

Table 1 Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Anaemia

Sr.no	Classification of Anaemia	Hb level	Frequency	Percentage
1	No anaemia	$\geq 11$	39	26%
2	Mild anaemia	10-10.9	34	22.66%
3	Moderate anaemia	7-9.9	73	48.66%
4	Severe anaemia	$\leq 7$	4	2.66%

According to WHO classification of anaemia among pregnant women 26% (39) having no anaemia, 22.66 % (34) were having Mild anaemia, 48.66 % (73) were having Moderate anaemia and 2.66 % (4) were having Severe anaemia



# Column diagram showing percentage distribution of respondents by classification of anaemia.

## Identification of Riskfactors of Anaemia

\_

 Table 2 Riskfactctors Realted to Clinical & Reproductive Characteristis

 [n=150]

C	** • • •	<u> </u>	Anae			
Sr.no	Variables	Category	Yes	No	AOR	
		Primi gravida	49(44.4%)	20(51.28%)	1	
		Secondary Gravida	41(36.93%)	14(35.8%)	1.120	
		Multi gravida	21(18.9%)	05(12.82%)	1.332	
		3	14(66.6%)	3(60%)	1	
		4	3(14.28%)	1(20%)	0.66	
		$\geq 5$	4(19.04%)	1(20%)	0.88	
		1 year	16(25.39%)	4(23.52%)	0.747	
		2 year	24(38.09%)	5(29.41%)	0.6	
		3 year	11(17.46%)	2(11.76%)	0.3	
	Gravidity	≥4 year	12(19.04%)	6(35.29%)	1	
		1 stTrimester	20(18.01%)	5(12.81%)	0.510	
1.		2ndTrimester	50(45%)	16(41%)	0.854	
		3rdtrimester	41(36.93%)	18(46.15%)	1	
		Yes	83(75.45%)	33(82.5%)	1	
		No	27(24.54%)	7(17.5%)	1.234	
		Yes	16(14.4%)	6(58.38%)	1.110	
		No	95(85.58%)	33(84.61%)	1	
		Yes	40(36.36%)	14(35%)	1	
		No	70(63.63%)	26(65%)	0.931	
		Copper T	2(1.80%)	2(5.12%)	2.953	
		Condom	23(20.72%)	15(38.4%)	1.973	
		No any	86(77.4%)	22(56.4%)	1	
		Yes	50(50.9%)	27(69.2%)	1	
		No	61(5495%)	12(30.7%)	3.008	
		Yes	44(39.63%)	5(12.82%)	0.211	
		No	67(60.36%)	34(87.1%)	1	
		Yes	8(7.20%)	2(5.12%)	0.777	
		No	103(92.79%)	37(94.87)	1	
		Yes	22(19.81%)	8(20.51%)	0.973	
		No	89(80.1%)	31(79.48%)	1	

 Table 3 Risk Factctors Realted to Nutritional Patterns

 [n=150]

Sr No	Variables	Catagory	Anae	<b>A</b>		
SF NU	variables	Category	Yes	No	Aur	
1	Ess d Habit	Vegetarian	72(64.8%)	23(58.97%)	0.502	
	Food Habit	Non vegetarian	39(35.13%)	16(41.02%)	1	
2 1	Fruit & vegetables	At Once per day	72(64.8%)	23(58.97%)	1	
		Twice per day	39(35.13%)	16(41.02%)	0.613	
		Not taking	0(0%)	0(0%)	1.725	
3	Take tea/coffee	At Once per day	21(18.91%)	4(10.25%)	0.221	
	after/before meal	Twice per day	7(6.30%)	0(0%)	6.549	
		Not taking	83(74.7%)	35(89.64%)	1	
4	Eat non- nutritive	Yes	28(25.22%)	3(6.69%)	0.676	
	Substance	No	83(74.77%)	36(92.30%)	1	
5	Chatting while	Yes	97(87.38%)	14(35.89%)	0.181	
	Eating	No	14(12.61%)	25(64.10%)	1	
6	Watching TV	Yes	95(84.82%)	9(23.68%)	0.092	
	while Eating	No	17(15.17%)	29(74.35%)	1	

Table 4 Association of Prevalance of Anaemia w	vith
Significant Demographical Variable	

[n=150, p=0.05]

	ANAEMIAz								
Sr No	šr No Variable		No anaemia	Mild anaemia	Moderate anaemia	Severe anaemia	Degree Of Freedom	Chi Square value	Significance
1	Age	18-24 year	24	28	58	02	3	2.582	7.82
2	Religion	25-30 year Hindu Muslim	15 33 06	6 30 04	15 71 02	02 03 01	3	2.994	NS 7.82 NS
3	Types of family	Nuclear Joint	09 30	07 27	20 53	0 4	3	1.350	7.82 NS
		Illiterate Secondary	01 31	10 08	37 12	01 01			
4	Educatio nal status	High secondary Graduate	05 02	09 07	08 16	01 01	9	5.854	16.92 NS
	Occupati	Housewife	34 03	17	52 14	01			12.59
5	on	Labourer	02	08	07	02	6	5.52	NS
	Family	Below 5000Rs	33	26	61	02			7 82
6	income	5000- 10000Rs	06	08	12	02	3	1.165	NS

## DISCUSION

This final chapter deals with discussion of result summary of the study and conclusion of the study. Furthermore, the limitation of the study, recommendation for further studies will be discussed.

#### CONCLUSION

Anaemia continues to be a major problem in developing countries with poor maternal and neonatal outcome. Knowledge about prevalence and associate factors can improve both maternal and neonatal outcome.

#### Recommendation

- The Similar study can be conducted on large sample.
- A conducted study can be Performed in urban and ruralarea.
- A similar study can be performed in community setting.

## References

- 1. Parvathy Joshi "Health and Medicine" Published by Health and Medicine, April 8<sup>th</sup> 2014.
- 2. WHO, UNICEF, & UNU, Iron deficiency anaemia: Assessment, Preventionandcontrol, WHO, UNICEF, UNU, Geneva, Switzerland,2001.
- 12. Noran M. Abu-Ouf, MBChB, MSc, Mohammed M. Jan, MBChB, FRCPC. The impact of maternal iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia on child' shealth. 2015;volume-36(2):146-149
- 4. Balgir R, Panda J. Panda A, Ray M. A Cross sectional study of anaemia in Pregnant women of Eastern cost of Odisha. Tribal Health Bull.2011.
- 5. D.C.Datta "A text book of Obstetrics" 6<sup>th</sup>edition, New Central book agency(p)LTD
- 6. De Benoist *et al*.eds "Epidemiology & market size database." world wide prevalence of anaemia 2008

- 7. Mwanaisha M. Ali, Agatha F. Ngowi, Nyasiro S. Gibore. Prevalence and obstetric factors associated with anaemia among pregnant women, attending antenatal care in Unguja island, Tanzania. *International journal of community medicine and public health*, 15 January 2019; volume- 6(3): 950-957.
- 8. Desalegn Getaneh1, Abera Bayeh2, Bezabih Belay1, Tewabe Tsehaye1. Assessment of the Prevalence of Anemia and Its Associated Factors among Pregnant Women in Bahir Dar City Administration, North-West Ethiopia, *Journal of pregnancy and child health*, Mar 22, 2018; volume- 5(2)
- 9. Dr.Shridevi, Study of prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal checkup in a rural teaching hospital in Telangana, India. *International journal of Reproduction, contraception, Obsterics and Gynecology*, 04 June 2018; Volume 7(7): 2612-2616.

## How to cite this article:

Ekta Patel *et al* (2019) 'To Assess The Prevalence of Anaemia And It's Associated Factors Among Pregnant Womenattending Antenatalclinic At Dhiraj Hospital, Piparia, Waghodia, Vadodara', *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 08(07), pp.19424-19427. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijcar.2019.19427.3746

\*\*\*\*\*\*